



Consommation et Corporations Canada	Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada	(21) (A1)	2,028,968
Bureau des brevets	Patent Office	(22)	1990/10/31
Ottawa, Canada K1A 0C9		(43)	1991/05/25
		(52)	195-35 C.R. CL. 195-44 150-15

(51) INTL.CL. <sup>5</sup> C12N-011/00; C12N-001/00; C12Q-001/26; C12Q-001/44

**(19) (CA) APPLICATION FOR CANADIAN PATENT (12)**

(54) Stabilized Dispersed Enzymes

(72) Thakore, Yatin B. - U.S.A. ;

(73) Kingston Diagnostics, L.P. - U.S.A. ;

(30) (US) 07/440,697 1989/11/24

(57) 28 Claims

S 1000 - 73

Notice: The specification contained herein as filed

Canada

CCA 3254 (10-89) 41

2628968

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

Enzymes are immobilized, stabilized and evenly dispersed without destroying their activity by sequestering them within micropores of a solid carrier structure and filling the pores with a water-immiscible organic liquid, in which the enzyme remains active. The preferred solid carrier is a microporous membrane. The system is manufactured by providing a solid carrier having a microporous surface whose pores contain an aqueous solution, allowing an aqueous solution of enzyme to equilibrate with the solution in the pores, causing a controlled partial collapse of the pores to effectively trap the enzymes, and replacing the liquid in the pores with a water-immiscible organic liquid. The system can be used to assay for or produce an organic compound. It also can be used for slow release of an enzyme.

STABILIZED DISPERSED ENZYMES

Attorney Docket No. KTI-108A

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION1. Field of the Invention

5 This invention relates to enzymatic processes, e.g.,

for assaying or synthesizing specific organic compounds.

Enzymatic reactions are widely used in various

biomedical and industrial applications such as assaying

or synthesizing specific organic compounds. To facili-

10 tate enzyme handling and recovery, enzymes have been

immobilized on insoluble supports, including membranes.

Techniques for immobilization include chemical cross-

linking, covalent binding to supports, physical entrap-

ment or adsorption, or a combination of physical and

15 chemical processes.

To be functional, enzymes must retain their natu-

rally occurring folding pattern which establishes the con-  
figuration or structure necessary for enzymatic activity.

Denaturization of the enzyme (alteration of its folding  
pattern accompanied by loss of activity) can result from  
prolonged storage, heat, and other environmental factors.

5 Many immobilization methods, particularly covalent bind-  
ing and cross-linking, have been proposed to preserve the  
native conformation of the enzymes, but such methods  
themselves may contribute to enzyme inactivation.

10 Kazandjian et al., Biotech. and Bioeng. XXVIII:417-  
421 (1986) disclose a method of precipitating two enzymes,  
horseradish peroxidase and cholesterol oxidase, onto a  
glass powder. First they form an aqueous slurry of  
enzyme and powder, and then they dry the slurry to  
15 obtain "visibly dry (free-flowing) beads." They add the  
resulting beads to enzyme substrate (p-amisidine)

dissolved in various solvents, and conclude that the  
reaction proceeds fastest in

5 "very hydrophobic, water immiscible  
solvents that evidently do not strip the  
essential water from the enzyme even if no  
exogenous water is added (on top of that  
brought in with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) . . . [Even] in  
toluene and other highly hydrophobic  
organic solvents, a certain amount of water  
present is required."

10

They attribute loss of enzymatic activity in less hydro-  
phobic, more water-miscible solvents to stripping of  
critical water molecules from the enzyme.

15

Klibanov, Science 219: 722-727 (1983) discloses  
various strategies for enzyme stabilization, including  
attaching the enzyme to a support by multiple links to  
avoid unfolding, and encapsulating the enzyme in  
membranes that are impermeable for enzymes, but permeable  
for low molecular weight substrates and products.

20

Entrapment in microcapsules is accomplished by "inter-  
facial polymerization, liquid drying or phase separation."

Entrapment in liposomes or in hollow fibers is also disclosed.

Zaks and Klibanov, Science, 224:1249-1251 (1984)

and Zaks and Klibanov, Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. 82:3192-3196 (1985) disclose that porcine pancreatic lipase, yeast lipase, and mold lipase retain their activity in nearly anhydrous organic solvents. They further disclose that, while water is essential for maintenance of activity of these enzymes, it also participates in inactivation processes, particularly thermal inactivation. They further disclose that enzymes are more heat-stable in organic, water-immiscible solvents.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

I have discovered that enzymes can be stabilized and evenly dispersed without destroying their activity by sequestering the enzyme at least at the surface of

a solid carrier structure having micropores and filling the pores with a water-immiscible organic liquid, in which the enzyme remains active. The preferred solid carrier is a microporous membrane.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention is more fully understood when the specification herein is taken in conjunction with the appended drawings, wherein:

10       Figure 1 is a flow diagram of the steps for manufacturing an enzyme system.

Figure 2 is a graph demonstrating the system of example 1.

Figure 3 is a graph demonstrating the system of example 2.

15       Figure 4 is a graph demonstrating the system of

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

This invention teaches a novel, simple and practical way of achieving a uniform dispersion of one or more enzymes in a pre-formed polymer matrix such as a membrane and stabilization of such uniformly dispersed enzymes by essentially non-polar solvents as described by Kazandjian et al (supra) or Zaks et al (supra).

The method of achieving such a uniform dispersion falls in a class of methods of enzyme immobilization described as enzyme entrapment but differs from conventional entrapment methods in that it involves no cross-linking or polymerization reaction and thereby offers more flexibility in the choice of membrane materials and the enzymes themselves. Additionally, this method offers a way to stabilize the enzymes subsequent to entrapment.

The current state of art of immobilization of enzymes is summarized by Klibanov, (supra). As described therein, they can be divided into five classes:

a.) Covalent attachment; b.) Adsorption of enzymes on solid supports, typically ion exchange supports; c.) Entrapment of enzymes in polymeric gels; d.) Cross-linking of enzymes with trifunctional reagents; and, e.) Encapsulaton of enzymes.

The intent behind immobilization is usually to stabilize the enzymes against denaturation.

A common mechanism of denaturation is when the enzymes (which are essentially high molecular weight proteins with catalytic activity) lose their catalytic capability by losing their three dimensional structure via chain unfolding which is responsible for its catalytic

action. The intent of immobilization is to restrict the movement of enzyme chains by securing at least a portion of enzyme chains to a support and/or by confining them in cage-like structures on a molecular scale such that they  
5 are much more restricted in their movements and the denaturation is slowed down or in other words enzymes are stabilized.

In many methods however such goals are not always realized. Particularly the methods that rely on covalent attachment, conventional entrapment and cross-linking with bifunctional reagents employ chemical reactions and often many enzymes don't survive the treatment. A large fraction of enzyme activity could be lost during the treatment itself. For each enzymes, for each type of reaction, and  
10 for each support usually extensive optimization need to  
15 be done.

The above methods and the physical adsorption methods  
additionally are generally unsuitable for applications  
which involve multiple enzymes. Many diagnostics appli-  
cations e.g. cholesterol, triglycerides, etc. use a one  
5 cascade of enzymes where product of one enzymatic reactions  
are a substrate for the next. When the enzymes are bound  
as in the above methods they usually will not couple  
with each other easily and the ratio of various enzymes  
necessary for proper performance can also not be adjusted  
10 easily without extensive optimization experiments.

Encapsulation methods although useful for multiple  
enzymes also have some drawbacks that during encapsulation,  
the enzymes do come in contact with the organic solvents  
which often harmful to the enzymes.  
15 In preferred embodiments, the organic liquid is  
characterized by a dipole moment less than 2.5 Debye

units, a dielectric constant less than 20, and a boiling point at atmospheric pressure of at least about 40°C but preferably over about 75°C. The system is particularly useful for enzymes such as cholesterol oxidase, whose substrates are insoluble or sparingly soluble (less than about 10g/l) in water under physiological conditions.

5 Preferably the liquid content of the carrier is at least 90 percent water-immiscible organic liquid.

A second aspect of the invention generally features 10 a method of making a stabilized, evenly dispersed enzyme system, by providing a solid carrier having a microporous structure whose pores contain an aqueous solution, allowing an aqueous solution of enzyme to equilibrate with the solution in the pores, and replacing the liquid 15 in the pores with a water-immiscible organic liquid.

Preferable, after the equilibration of the enzyme pores

are subjected to controlled partial collapse. By  
partial collapse, I mean that the pores are not elimi-  
nated, but they are collapsed sufficiently to effectively  
trap the enzyme. The preferred method of effecting  
5 controlled partial collapse and replacement of the aque-  
ous liquid is to dry the carrier surface to remove water,  
introduce a water miscible organic liquid into the pores,  
and then contact the carrier with the immiscible organic  
liquid, allowing replacement by diffusion. Preferably,  
10 the largest membrane pores initially have a diameter in  
the range of 10 Angstroms to 100 microns. After con-  
trolled collapse, the pore size is reduced to sequester  
the enzyme (or cell debris containing the enzyme) to  
on the order of between 5 Angstroms and 10 microns.  
15 The invention offers improved enzyme stability,  
e.g., as manifested by shelf-life in a diagnostic kit;

moreover it substantially improves heat tolerance of  
the enzyme, e.g. so that its catalytic reaction can be  
performed at temperatures well above ordinary physio-  
logical temperatures. Also, the invention provides an  
even dispersion of enzyme without formation of clumps or  
precipitation, which limit surface area contact with the  
reaction medium. The system is produced under mild  
conditions so as to preserve enzymatic activity. The  
system can also be used for enzymes having water soluble  
substrates, by contacting the enzyme-containing membrane  
with substrate dissolved in an aqueous phase. Moreover,  
the system can be used to react substances in aqueous  
media over a wide pH range, because the organic liquid  
insulates the membrane from the pH of the surrounding  
aqueous medium.

The invention also can be used to provide sustained

controlled release of active enzyme over time, as described more fully below.

The Enzyme System

Enzymes used in the above-described system may be virtually any known enzyme, but preferred enzymes are those catalyzing reaction of a lipophilic substance, i.e., one that prefers non-polar, hydrophobic water-immiscible liquids over polar liquids such as water; i.e. the substance should be sparingly (if at all) soluble in water, but easily dissolved in the water-immiscible organic liquid chosen.

The organic liquid or solvent used could be any apolar organic solvent which is immiscible with water.

In general, the solubility of water in these organic solvents should be less than 10% and preferably less than 1% by weight. The dipole moment of these

solvents in general will be between 0 and 2.5 and preferable under 1 Debye unit. The dielectric constants of these solvents in general will be under 20 and preferably under 10. It will be preferable to have solvents with relatively high boiling points specifically for applications involving higher temperatures. Examples of suitable solvents in this class include toluene, benzene, xylene, hydrocarbons, oils, higher alcohols etc. The organic liquid can be readily selected for a given enzyme 10 as described herein. The final organic liquid content of the membrane should be over 90% of the total liquid in the membranes and preferable over 98% of the total liquid.

The preferred carriers are membranes, although other forms of carrier could be used. Specifically, the 15 membrane can be in any convenient form such as flat

- 15 -

sheet, hollow fibers, tubes, sponges or even porous rods  
or fabricated forms from basic membrane structures.

Membranes with larger pores in the range of 10 Angstroms

- 100 micron and/or initial void volumes between 20-97%

5       of wet volume are suitable for these procedures, with  
pore sizes in 10 Angstroms - 5 micron and/or initial  
void volumes between 50-90% being the most preferred  
range.

The two primary requirements of membranes for such  
10      a procedure are that: i) the initial pore sizes of  
the membranes are large enough to allow the enzymes of  
interest to enter the membrane matrix by diffusion (in  
the case of asymmetric membranes, at least the pores  
on the more open spongy side are large enough for the  
15      enzymes to diffuse into the membrane matrix); and ii)  
the water (or other non-solvent) in the pores is in its

- 15 -

non-equilibrium state, such that the pores can be collapsed irreversibly upon drying. These requirements can be easily met by membranes synthesized from a wide variety of relatively hydrophobic polymers or their derivatives, as described below. Also the membrane should

5  
be resistant to the organic solvent of interest.

As noted, the initial water (non-solvent) content should be such that, upon drying or evaporation of the non-solvent, the pores will collapse irreversibly.

10 This requirement is easily met by membranes fabricated from a wide variety of relatively hydrophobic polymers, copolymers or their derivatives such as nylons, polyesters, polysulfones, polyacrylonitriles, polycarbonates, polyvinylchlorides, cellulose esters etc. When

15 solutions of these membranes are cast, spun or extruded and allowed to coagulate in a non-solvent bath or in

atmospheric humidity, the membranes contain a high fraction of non-solvent, but upon drying the pore-structure generally collapse irreversibly such that they will not regain the original amount of water or non-  
5 solvent upon rewetting.

Alternatively, the membrane could be hydrophilic such that the pores would collapse and the membranes would deswell when water or hydrophilic solvent is replaced by non-polar solvents. Such requirements are  
10 also easily met by a number of common polymers such as poly-HEMA or their derivatives, cellulosic or their derivatives, hydrolyzed polyacrylonitrile or their derivatives, collagen, polyvinyl alcohol or their derivatives, etc.

15 The choice of hydrophobic or hydrophilic polymer matrices depends upon the application. In the case of

hydrophilic membranes, the entrapment as described above will be due to the desolvation and consequent partial collapse of the membrane structure in presence of the organic liquids. When such membranes are used 5 in contact with aqueous liquids, e.g., as in diagnostic strips, the membranes would gradually reswell and water will preferentially displace the entrapped organic liquids and cause some of the immobilized enzymes to leach into the analate solution. Such loss 10 would be of little consequence in the case of disposal diagnostic strips but is not desirable for applications requiring continuous processes as for example in bioreactors.

For continuous processes conducted in contact with 15 aqueous or hydrophilic media, hydrophobic membrane matrices which undergo permanent shrinkage of pores

will be more desirable. The hydrophilic membrane  
matrices, however are preferred for controlled release  
of enzymes, because the enzymes would be stable for a  
long time but could be released slowly into external  
5 aqueous media when contacted by such media. Such  
systems are useful for example in enzymatic processes  
involving in situ clean up of soil or water contami-  
nation.

Enzyme stabilization in hydrophilic matrices can  
10 also be used as a storage device to keep the enzymes  
active until needed. This would be particularly use-  
ful for in-field applications where it may not be  
practicable to have refrigeration. At the time of use,  
stabilized enzymes trapped in hydrophilic matrices can  
15 release the enzymes into outside aqueous solution.  
Such stabilized enzymes therefore can also be used

with applications requiring hydrophilic substrates and/or cofactors, both of which are largely insoluble in the organic liquids.

Manufacture

5 One convenient way to manufacture these stabilized membranes under mild conditions (shown in Fig. 1) is to start with a suitable synthetic membrane containing water or aqueous buffer solution in its pores and with pore-sizes large enough to accomodate the enzyme molecules. The membrane is next placed in a buffered solution of enzyme at its optimum pH and the enzyme is allowed to diffuse into the membrane matrix. After the diffusional exchange, the membrane is partially dried either by gently squeezing the membrane between paper towels or by subjecting it to vacuum. The membrane is of such a type that this partial drying

10

15

causes partial collapse of the pores, thereby effect-  
ively entrapping the enzyme molecules within the pores.

After the entrapment step, the membrane is next placed in a water miscible organic solvent such as  
5 acetone, ethanol, etc., and the water in the membrane is exchanged via diffusional exchange. Once this exchange is complete, the enzyme-entrapped membrane is further placed into the desired organic liquid. The diffusional exchange causes the enzyme molecules to be surrounded by the appropriate organic liquids. Since the enzymes are effectively trapped within the pores or void spaces of the polymer matrix, they do remain well-dispersed during the diffusional exchange. The hydrophilicity of parts of the enzyme will result in  
10 a small amount of bound water enveloped around the enzyme molecules. Based on current theoretical  
15

understanding, it appears that the bound water around enzyme molecules ensures their normal functioning, and the hydrophobic medium surrounding them establishes hydrophobic interaction to prevent unfolding of the  
5 enzyme molecules.

Although the above method is a gentle and straight-forward method for making such membranes, there are a variety of other common methods of immobilization which are familiar to those in the field, including cross-linking and entrapment, covalent binding and entrapment,  
10 etc. These methods generally could be used for the present invention, but the particular method chosen should be selected to avoid damage to the enzymes. The membrane pores or void spaces should be filled with appropriate organic solvent as soon as possible, subsequent  
15 to immobilization.

At28903

- 23 -

Finally, even though the present invention describes stabilization of enzymes which are isolated and not a part of living or dead cells, it is possible to prepare such membranes from cell fragments or even whole cells without isolating the enzymes from the cells. The use of the invention is not limited by whether the enzymes are in isolated and purified form or part of cell fragments and therefore in crude, unpurified forms.

10

The following examples illustrate the invention and they are not to be construed as limitations on it.

Example 1:

Microporous membranes were made by dissolving polyacrylonitrile (molecular weight 150,000) in DMSO at a concentration of 6% by weight, and the solution was 5 filtered through 5 micron stainless steel wire-mesh.

The filtered solution was cast on a glass plate with a casting knife resulting in a 10 mil (250) thick solution layer and allowed to coagulate at 70° F and between 70-75% humidity. The polymer solution co- 10 agulated in 2-3 hours, giving a microporous membrane with maximum pore-size of 0.8 microns as judged by bubble point. The water content of this membrane was 94% by weight.

The membrane was washed with water for 15 several days and punched into discs of 25 mm diam-

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**